

MANIFESTO

Young Adults, Mental health and Inclusion - YAMI

Bergamo (Italy), October 31-November 5, 2022¹



Co-funded by
the European Union

Participants from five different European countries (Belgium, France, Greece, Italy, and Spain) shared their experiences, communicating in different languages and understanding each other with the feeling of belonging to a unique culture and an ancient tradition of valuing and respecting cultural differences. Participants experienced the richness and complexity of being diverse and inclusive in the same time, finding common values and sharing questions, needs, and innovative practices which can be reproduced in other countries. A strong will of exchanging experiences and learning from each other emerged during the week.

¹ **Erasmus+ Project 2019-3-IT03-KA105-017215 - YAMI participants:**

Italy: Cecilia Edelstein, Project Coordinator — Shinui - Centro di Consulenza sulla Relazione; CIPRA - Coordinamento Italiano Professionisti della Relazione d'Aiuto; Achim Rusu — Università Milano Bicocca - Corso di Laurea Servizio Sociale; Anna Barracco — Coop. Limen ONLUS, Società Thesisgroup Srl, CIPRA; Antonia Restori — ASL di Parma, CIPRA; Giuseppe Galdi — Associazione Michele Baù; Radicali di Diritti alla follia; Lorenzo Maini — Shinui, Studente di Psicologia, Università Milano Bicocca; Martine Bucci — CIPRA; Paolo Migone — Rivista *Psicoterapia e Scienze Umane*, CIPRA; Riccardo Zerbetto — IIPDW Italia – The International Institute for Psychiatric Drug Withdrawal; Centro Studi di Terapia della Gestalt; CIPRA.

Belgium: Paul du Roy, Country Coordinator, — Epsilon - Réseau de soins psychiatriques; Nele De Schryver — UZ Gent - Universiteit Gent; Emanuele Ferrigno — IMAGO, l'Équipe, Ligue Bruxelloise pour la Santé Mentale; Gilbert Lemmens — UZ Gent - Universiteit Gent.

France: Thomas Schwab, Country Coordinator - IPEC - Institut Pluridisciplinaire d'Études sur la Communication; Samuel Bouloudnine - ODIS-C - Organisme pour le Développement des Interventions Systémique et Contextuelles; Philippe Durand - Réseau et Famille; Rémi Peridon - Réseau et Famille.

Greece: Valeria Pomini, Country Coordinator — UMHRI - University Mental Health, Neurosciences, and Precision Medicine Research Institute "Costas Stefanis", First Dept. of Psychiatry, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens; Mirjana Selakovic — General Hospital "Sismanogleio" Marousi, Athens; Kiriaki Tsikou — EPAPSY - Association for Regional Development and Mental Health; Lida Xenaki — First Dept. of Psychiatry, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens.

Spain: Adrián José Hinojosa, Country Coordinator — Escuela de Terapia Familiar Sant Pau; Claudio Fuenzalida — CTI - Centre de Teràpia Interfamiliar; Julia Javkin — CITA - Centro de Adicciones Clínicas; Ramón José Lema — Escuela de Terapia Familiar Sant Pau.

When we speak of “mental health” we are not speaking only of mental disorders such as addiction or other psychiatric conditions, or of psychiatric institutions and services: we are speaking of the life in our communities, of young adults that everyday deal with a difficult world, particularly after the years of isolation due to the COVID-19 pandemic. However, in our week of the YAMI project in Bergamo we focused also on more difficult situations, such as those we deal with in our Mental Health Services.

YAMI participants agreed on the following statements regarding the improvement of youth mental health throughout Europe:

1. Promotion of **well-being and improvement of quality of life**, with particular focus on the social, economic, cultural, educational and health aspects related to the life of young adults. Creation of contexts for equal opportunities that are pluralistic and inclusive, and that encourage active citizenship, fight social stigma and discrimination regarding religion, ethnicity, gender, social class, etc. Spaces for autonomous self-organization of young adults should be promoted and sustained also with public funding.

2. **Prevention** of psychological problems should be emphasized. Preventive actions should aim to improve mental health literacy, in order to facilitate early intervention in youth population, i.e., early detection, facilitating young people help seeking for themselves and/or families and friends. Community and local stakeholders should provide spaces for young people and plan prevention activities. Prevention implies an investigation on screening methods in order to identify risk factors such as drug and alcohol abuse, and on modalities of reaching out. Sensibilization campaigns on psychological problems with the use of social media (particularly those used by young generations) could be very useful to young adults, especially regarding abuse, neglect, discrimination, family disruption, bullying, violence, negative influence of some types of commercial advertising, etc. Focus should be on resources and resilient factors at individual, family and community level (and not on the problem during the prevention phase). Collaboration with schools, local, cultural, and sports associations is needed, combating social isolation and promoting civic awareness, green culture, education for peace and democracy, independent and critical thinking.

3. **Early intervention** should be targeted not only on early psychoses, but also on clinical or subclinical (sub-threshold) common mental health difficulties such as anxiety and mood disturbances. The presence of mental health professionals (psychologists and counselors) at school, colleges and universities is of paramount importance. It seems essential to develop outpatient services to avoid hospitalizations as much as possible and to propose solutions adapted to the situation related to the context of young adults.

4. Specific **“youth centers”** or **“open houses”** for the treatment of various psychopathologies should be implemented in the community. Examples are the *Balance Homes* in Israel where patients can regain their emotional balance after a psychological crisis, or the *Soteria Houses* founded in the early 1970s in California by Loren Mosher, later implemented in various countries; these houses provide space for people experiencing psychological distress or crisis and are based on a “recovery” model, with primarily non-medical staff engaging residents’ social networks and finding meaning in the subjective experience of distress, with minimal use of medication and no restraint. Attention to the patients’ social environment should focus also on trans-cultural aspects. In these centers, that could be accessed also without a medical referral, guests should find peers and perform various recreational and cultural activities they like the most (such as art, sport, meditation, body works, reading, writing, poetry, etc.). Also, the architecture of these houses should be attractive to young adults. Former guests could play a role as members of the staff in adding their help to peers during the therapeutic process.

5. A **wide range of therapeutic opportunities** should be offered. Beside youth centers and open houses, there should be various therapeutic modalities such as hospitals, therapeutic communities, day-centers, out-patient clinics, outreaching teams with home visits, etc., in order to assist young adults with psychotic episodes and other psychological sufferings. Non-residential care should be emphasized; residential treatment, if needed, should be short, medium, and long-term, possibly in the same area where the patient lives and with an involvement of his/her social network; ideally, inpatient treatment should be provided in units specifically designed for young adults, in order to avoid age differences among patients hospitalized in the same ward. Mobile teams can be particularly suited to support – in the community and at home, for a short period (about a month) – young adults going through a crisis who have difficulties in obtaining psychiatric help; these teams can create a bridge between the health and social areas, with the aim of reducing stigma in contexts of great flexibility. Post-hospitalization programs should aim to improve recovery through specific actions like more suitable school programs, coaching for students, vocational guidance, supported jobs, job placement, etc. The role of teamwork should be valued, and teams should move from a multidisciplinary to an *interdisciplinary* functioning, and different professionals (psychiatrists, psychotherapists, psychologists, counselors, social workers, psychiatric rehabilitation specialists, professional educators, nurses, art therapists, body workers, etc.) could be utilized in the process of care at various levels, according to their expertise, in order also to allow young people to choose which kind of care they need and desire.

6. **Treatment** should be based on different modalities, verbal and non-verbal; medication, when needed, should always be combined with a psychological understanding of the patient's symptoms within his/her life's history. The role of behavioral activation is important. As Franco Basaglia (the leader of the Italian movement for psychiatric reform) used to say, what patients need is not only to talk about something, but *to do things*, to be personally and emotionally involved in some activities; in fact, as research has shown, emotions, rather than cognitions, have the major role in promoting change. The therapeutic relationship should foster an ongoing co-construction of life narratives and meanings within supportive interdisciplinary teams with a particular attention to the sense of belonging and basic needs of young adults. Treatment should be focused on the patient's resilience and strengths, supporting empowerment, social recovery and social reintegration, and not on traditional psychiatric diagnosis; to this regard, diagnoses which increase social stigma should be avoided, and a transdiagnostic approach should be preferred (for example, attention to issues of affect regulation and attachment), considering also that many DSM diagnoses have some reliability but lack validity. Families should be considered partners: family support, family therapy and multifamily groups should be part of the routine work. Group therapy is often underutilized in mental health services, and should be implemented as most as possible because it is very useful and also cost-effective. Since in the public sector the practice of individual long-term psychotherapy is problematic because of the unavailability of an adequate number of psychological therapists, a service of short-term individual psychotherapy should be activated in every Mental Health Center. A service of brief psychotherapy would allow a larger number of users to have an experience of better understanding their inner world and the causes of their suffering, also with the aim of preventing future emotional difficulties. Research has shown that brief psychotherapies conducted by well trained therapists are effective in common mental disorders such as anxiety and depression, and frequently more effective than medication. National and international online group seminars for young adults with similar psychological problems should be arranged in order to exchange experiences. Also self-help groups are very important; in fact, research shows that self-help groups are extremely effective for specific psychological problems, and at times are more efficacious than professional treatments. An important method of intervention in early psychosis is the *Open Dialogue* approach developed by Seikkula's team in Western Lapland (Finland), now experimented also in other countries; this method is based on meetings within 24 hours after contact that includes as many significant people as possible from the patient's family and social network, with the aim of generating dialogue and putting words to the experiences embodied in the patient's psychotic symptoms.

7. **There is no treatment without “love”.** We are well aware that the term “love” has various meanings in different countries and cultures, and that this statement may seem simplistic or naïve, but it is at the heart of many theories of psychotherapeutic technique developed in the course of the twentieth century: what we mean is that in the approach to psychological suffering an important aspect, maybe the most important one, is the role of *affectivity*, i.e., the creation of a positive emotional bond, the feeling on the part of the patient that the staff member sees him/her *as a valuable person* despite the negative affects and great difficulties that at times are present. When we talk of “love” we mean that, in order to be efficacious, staff members should always try to maintain, also with a difficult patient, an ongoing relationship characterized by stability, consistency, warmth, acceptance, complicity, humor, joy, and play. *There is no change without positive feelings*. Of course, it is not easy to work with a difficult patient, but an important aspect of therapy consists precisely in the understanding, on the part of the therapist, of his/her negative feelings and in cultivating the ability to reflect and work on his/her emotional reactions.

8. **Mental health professionals should themselves receive “care”:** they should receive adequate salaries and, most importantly, appropriate training in the most effective therapeutic techniques, continuous education and group supervision (as well as “inter-vision”, i.e., peer groups of professionals that meet regularly to discuss difficult cases and emotional problems they might have in the relationship with difficult patients). Also individual supervision should be provided in case of need. Conferences, courses, meetings and international exchanges are extremely useful in order to grow and avoid cultural isolation, demotivation, and burn-out.

9. Services and treatment facilities should continue to receive **appropriate funding**, in order to guarantee **continuity and variety of care**.

10. Last, but not least, **empirical research** is extremely important. Guided by expert researchers, each team should carry out research projects with the aim of validating specific interventions or approaches for the prevention and treatment of psychological problems of young adults.



Co-funded by
the European Union

